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## DEFINITIVE AGREEMENT FINANCIAL TERMS

## General Principles

- Legal, business and financial negotiations are often linked on many deal terms
- Financial terms and formulas need to work at signing, closing and post-closing
- Neither party wants purchase price surprises
- Ambiguity is never a good thing for either side
- Buyers want to protect the franchise value for as long as feasible
- Sellers want certainty of closing and very few contingencies
- Buyers want all expenses and costs identified and quantified
- All material due diligence should be completed prior to signing definitive agreement


## TOP 10 NEGOTIATED FINANCIAL TERMS IN M\&A TRANSACTIONS

1. Purchase Price Formula - Cash Deals
2. Exchange Ratio Formula - Stock Deals
3. Walk-Away Rights
4. Data Processing and Related Agreements - Termination Fees
5. Change-in-Control Agreements
6. Treatment of Transaction Costs
7. Escrows, Holdbacks and Asset Exclusions
8. Payout or Continuation of Certain Benefit Plans
9. Handling of Financing or Other Contingencies
10. Break-Up Fees and Other Termination Fees
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## 2019 M\&A TRANSACTIONS

Summary Deal Statistics by Consideration Type

|  | Consideration Type |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cash Only | Common Stock Only | Common Stock \& Cash | Total (1) |
| Number of Deals Announced | 105 | 40 | 57 | 202 |
| \% of Deals Announced | 52.0\% | 19.8\% | 28.2\% | 100.0\% |
| Median Seller Assets | \$156,186 | \$614,143 | \$305,356 | \$251,978 |
| Median Transaction Value | \$38.8 | \$119.8 | \$51.9 | \$61.3 |
| Median Price / Tangible Book Value | 147\% | 173\% | 151\% | 157\% |
| Median Price / LTM Earnings | 16.8 | 16.3 | 15.9 | 16.3 |

(1) A total of 266 deals were announced in 2019, 64 of which either did not disclose any deal consideration or the deal consideration was something other than cash and/or stock. Totals shown are for the remaining 202 deals which reported cash and/or common stock as part of the deal consideration.

## M\&A TRANSACTIONS BY CONSIDERATION TYPE

## Deals Announced From 2016-2019



Source: S\&P Global Market Intelligence, a division of S\&P Global.
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## MARKET DYNAMICS

## TBV Dilution, EPS Accretion and Internal Rate of Return ("IRR")

|  | Buyer Name | Buye <br> ST | Seller Name | Seller <br> ST | Seller <br> Total <br> Assets <br> (\$000) | Deal Announcement Date | Deal <br> Value <br> (\$Mil) | Pricel Tang. Book | TBV <br> Dilution | Earnback Period | IRR | Savings \% | $\begin{array}{r} \text { EPS } \\ \text { Accretion } \\ 2020 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { EPS } \\ \text { Accretion } \\ 2021 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Glacier Bancorp Inc. | MT | FNB Bancorp | UT | \$326,343 | 01/16/19 | \$85.0 | 216\% | 0.1\% | 0 years | 17.2\% | 18\% | 0.6\% | 0.5\% |
|  | United Bankshares Inc. | WV | Carolina Financial Corp. | SC | \$3,979,888 | 11/18/19 | \$1,117.9 | 207\% | 3.4\% | 2.5 years | 15\%-19\% | 25\% | 7.5\% | 8.3\% |
|  | S\&T Bancorp Inc. | PA | DNB Financial Corp. | PA | \$1,166,694 | 06/05/19 | \$206.0 | 206\% | 3.0\% | 3.2 years | > $20 \%$ | 33\% | 2.8\% | 5.2\% |
|  | Heritage Commerce Corp | CA | Presidio Bank | CA | \$906,059 | 05/16/19 | \$197.6 | 203\% | 8.0\% | 4.3 years | > $25 \%$ | 50\% | 5.0\% | 6.1\% |
|  | Fidelity D \& D Bancorp Inc. | PA | MNB Corporation | PA | \$412,770 | 12/10/19 | \$78.7 | 200\% | Note 1 | 0 years | 21\% | 30\% | 11\% | 17\% |
|  |  |  | Median |  | \$906,059 |  | \$197.6 | 206\% | 3.2\% | 2.5 years |  |  | 5.0\% | 6.1\% |
|  | Community Bank System Inc. | NY | Kinderhook Bank Corp. | NY | \$631,996 | 01/22/19 | \$93.2 | 184\% | NA | NA | NA | 30\% | 3.2\% | NA |
|  | Level One Bancorp Inc. | MI | Ann Arbor Bancorp Inc. | MI | \$320,091 | 08/13/19 | \$67.8 | 170\% | 19\% | 4.7 years | >20\% | 35\% | > $25 \%$ | $>30 \%$ |
|  | RBB Bancorp | CA | PGB Holdings Inc. | IL | \$223,294 | 09/06/19 | \$32.5 | 169\% | 3.9\% | 3.3 years | NA | 30\% | 9\% | NA |
|  | Columbia Financial Inc. (MHC) | NJ | Stewardship Financial Corp. | NJ | \$961,130 | 06/07/19 | \$137.2 | 167\% | 6.1\% | 4.6 years | 19.4\% | 48\% | 16.2\% | 20.7\% |
|  | First Guaranty Bancshares Inc. | LA | Union Bancshares Inc. | LA | \$261,371 | 08/05/19 | \$43.4 | 154\% | 7\%-9\% | 3 years | NA | 25\%-35\% | NA | NA |
|  |  |  | Median |  | \$320,091 |  | \$67.8 | 169\% | 7.1\% | 4.0 years |  |  | 12.6\% | 25.4\% |
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## TOP 10 NEGOTIATED FINANCIAL TERMS

## \#1 - Purchase Price Formula - Cash Deals

- Fixed cash price considerations:
- Buyer wants downside protection by requiring a minimum equity provision while Seller wants upside if interim earnings are greater than expected (or closing is delayed)
- Minimum equity could be a closing condition or a price adjustment
- Factors impacting minimum equity:
- Interim earnings - through closing or month-end prior
- Level of ALLL or changes in credit quality prior to closing
- AOCI - change in amount of unrealized gain/losses or AFS investments
- Transaction costs - pay or accrue in closing equity; or specific amount up to a limit
- Dividends - common to have special dividend equal to excess amount over minimum equity
- Variable cash price considerations:
- All of the factors above are in play as they could directly impact the purchase price
- Typically based on a percentage of book value or a dollar premium above book value
- Continuous monitoring up to and through closing
- See following example


## SAMPLE CASH PURCHASE PRICE CALCULATION

## Target is an S-Corp.

## Formula Based on:

- 1.65 x Bank's Base Capital (8.0\%)
- Dollar-for-dollar credit for excess capital
- Plus net assets of parent company
- Plus one-half of estimated tax benefit from 338(h)(10) election
- Plus an earnings credit if deal closes after a certain date
- \$1.0 million escrow of purchase price to cover indemnification

| Bank Capital Calculation | Actual Dollars |
| :--- | ---: |
| Bank Capital | $\$ 22,809,852$ |
|  |  |
| Bank Capital Calculation | $\$ 210,275,441$ |
| Bank Assets | $\mathbf{8 . 0 \%}$ |
| Times $8 \%$ | $\$ 16,822,035$ |
| Base Capital |  |
|  | $\$ 22,809,852$ |
| Excess Capital Calculation | $\$ 5,822,035$ |
| Total Bank Capital |  |
| (Less) Base Capital | $\$ 16,822,035$ |
| Excess Capital | $\underline{1.65}$ |
|  | $\$ 27,756,358$ |
| Bank Value Calculation | $\$ 5,987,817$ |
| Base Capital | $\$ 33,744,175$ |
| Times 1.65 |  |


| Purchase Price Calculation | Actual Dollars |
| :--- | ---: |
| Bank Value | $\$ 33,744,175$ |
| Plus Other Holding Company Assets | $\$ 239,199$ |
| Cash and Due | $\$ 93,000$ |
| Equity Investment in Nonbank Subsidiary | $\$ 50,000$ |
| Other Assets | $\$ 382,199$ |
| Subtotal | $(\$ 3,112,800)$ |
| Less Holding Company Liabilities | $\underline{(\$ 261,444)}$ |
| Balance Due to Nonbank Subsidiary | $\mathbf{\$ 3 0 , 3 7 4 , 2 4 4 )}$ |
| Other Liabilities | $\$ 750,000$ |
| Subtotal | $\$ 31,502,130$ |
| Purchase Price before 338(h)(10) Adjustment |  |
| Plus 338(h)(10) Adjustment [Section 2.1(a)(ii)] | TBD |
| PURCHASE PRICE | $\$ 31,502,130$ |
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## TOP 10 NEGOTIATED FINANCIAL TERMS

## \#2 - Exchange Ratio Formula - Stock Deals

- The majority of stock deals have fixed exchange ratios with no adjustment for changes in Buyer stock price (see following chart)
- Seller fixes ownership percentage and certain level of cash dividends
- Seller bears the risk of changes in Buyer's market price between contract and closing
- Buyer benefits from fixing pricing metrics (locks in EPS and TVPS accretion/dilution)
- Variable exchange ratios were more common when premiums were lower
- Combination stock and cash deals are still prominent (28\% of deals in 2019)
- Cash can be part of the consideration paid by Buyer; or special dividend paid by Seller
- Special dividend works well when target has excess capital (Buyer can avoid borrowing)
- Shareholder Election processes are less common high premium deals
- Most deals are structured with a fixed exchange ratio and fixed amount of cash for each share
- In the past, deals allowed for election by shareholders to take stock, cash or a combination (within limits of overall deal mix, i.e. $60 \%$ stock and $40 \%$ cash)


## EXCHANGE RATIO PROVISIONS

## Top 10 Largest and Smallest All-Stock Deals by Deal Value (2019)

| Buyer Name |  | Buyer <br> State | Seller Name | Seller State | Seller <br> Total Assets (\$000) | Deal Announcement Date | Deal Value (\$Mils) | Exchange Ratio | Fixed Adj. to <br> Exchange Exchange <br> Ratio? Ratio? <br> $(\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N})$ $(\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N})$ |  | \% Change from Initial Stock Price |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | BB\&T Corp. | NC | SunTrust Banks Inc. | GA | \$215,543,000 | 2/7/2019 | \$28,282.6 | 1.295 | Y | N |  |
|  | First Horizon National Corp. | TN | IBERIABANK Corp. | LA | \$31,734,598 | 11/4/2019 | \$3,917.4 | 4.584 | Y | N |  |
|  | TCF Financial Corp. | MN | Chemical Financial Corp. | Ml | \$21,498,341 | 1/28/2019 | \$3,551.9 | 0.5081 | Y | N |  |
|  | Texas Capital Bancshares Inc. | TX | Independent Bk Group Inc. | TX | \$14,959,127 | 12/9/2019 | \$3,122.9 | 1.0311 | Y | N |  |
|  | United Bankshares Inc. | WV | Carolina Financial Corp. | SC | \$3,979,888 | 11/18/2019 | \$1,117.9 | 1.13 | Y | N |  |
|  | People's United Financial Inc. | CT | United Financial Bancorp | CT | \$7,339,940 | 7/15/2019 | \$758.0 | 0.875 | Y | N |  |
|  | Valley National Bancorp | NJ | Oritani Financial Corp. | NJ | \$4,074,702 | 6/26/2019 | \$744.6 | 1.60 | Y | N |  |
|  | WesBanco Inc. | WV | Old Line Bancshares Inc | MD | \$3,075,613 | 7/23/2019 | \$500.1 | 0.7844 | Y | N |  |
|  | First Defiance Financial | OH | United Community Finl Corp. | OH | \$2,869,116 | 9/9/2019 | \$474.9 | 0.3715 | Y | N |  |
|  | Sandy Spring Bancorp Inc. | MD | Revere Bank | MD | \$2,626,721 | 9/24/2019 | \$460.7 | 1.0500 | Y | N |  |
|  | ChoiceOne Financial Services | MI | County Bank Corp | MI | \$616,564 | 3/25/2019 | \$89.0 | 2.0632 | Y | N |  |
|  | First Bancshares Inc. | MS | Southwest Georgia Financial | GA | \$547,544 | 12/18/2019 | \$87.9 | 1.00 | Y | N |  |
|  | Banner Corp. | WA | AltaPacific Bancorp | CA | \$434,700 | 7/24/2019 | \$87.6 | 0.2712 | Y | N |  |
|  | Glacier Bancorp Inc. | MT | FNB Bancorp | UT | \$326,343 | 1/16/2019 | \$85.0 | 0.6474 | Y | Y | +/-15\% |
|  | Fidelity D \& D Bancorp Inc. | PA | MNB Corporation | PA | \$412,770 | 12/10/2019 | \$78.7 | 1.039 | Y | N |  |
|  | Nicolet Bankshares Inc. | WI | Choice Bancorp Inc. | WI | \$440,541 | 6/27/2019 | \$72.1 | 0.5 | Y | Y | +/-10\% |
|  | Santa Cruz County Bank | CA | Lighthouse Bank | CA | \$314,400 | 5/28/2019 | \$68.9 | 0.5204 | Y | N |  |
|  | ACNB Corp. | PA | Frederick County Bancorp | MD | \$442,421 | 7/2/2019 | \$62.3 | 0.9900 | Y | N |  |
|  | Seacoast Banking Corp. of FL | FL | First Bank of the Palm Beaches | FL | \$189,126 | 11/19/2019 | \$32.9 | 0.2000 | Y | N |  |
|  | First Bank | NJ | Grand Bank NA | NJ | \$196,935 | 3/19/2019 | \$22.1 | 3262.956 | Y | N |  |
|  | ProBank |  |  |  |  | \% with Fix | d Exchan | ge Ratios | 100\% |  |  |
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## EXAMPLE STOCK / CASH PRICING MATRIX

## Fixed Exchange Ratio or Fixed Cash Price

| Buyer Stock Price Range | Transaction Pricing Based on Varying Range of Buyer Stock Price During 2019 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Assumed Price (3) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Low | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | High |  |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 24.50 \\ (1 / 16 / 19) \end{array}$ | \$25.00 | \$25.50 | \$26.00 | \$27.00 | \$28.00 | \$29.00 | \$30.00 | \$31.00 | \$32.00 | $\$ 32.50$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 32.99 \\ (11 / 26 / 19) \end{array}$ | \$29.13 |
| BASED ON DEFINITIVE AGREEMENT | Fixed Exchange Ratio (1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fixed Cash Price Per Share | \$5.00 | \$5.00 | \$5.00 | \$5.00 | \$5.00 | \$5.00 | \$5.00 | \$5.00 | \$5.00 | \$5.00 | \$5.00 | \$5.00 | \$5.00 |
| Exchange Ratio | 0.1716 | 0.1716 | 0.1716 | 0.1716 | 0.1716 | 0.1716 | 0.1716 | 0.1716 | 0.1716 | 0.1716 | 0.1716 | 0.1716 | 0.1716 |
| Implied Deal Value Per Share (2) | \$4.60 | \$4.65 | \$4.69 | \$4.73 | \$4.82 | \$4.90 | \$4.99 | \$5.07 | \$5.16 | \$5.25 | \$5.29 | \$5.33 | \$5.00 |
| Implied Deal Value Aggregate (\$millions) (2) | \$19.0 | \$19.2 | \$19.4 | \$19.5 | \$19.9 | \$20.3 | \$20.6 | \$21.0 | \$21.3 | \$21.7 | \$21.9 | \$22.0 | \$20.7 |
| \% of 9/30/19 Tangible Equity | 128\% | 129\% | 130\% | 132\% | 134\% | 136\% | 139\% | 141\% | 144\% | 146\% | 147\% | 148\% | 139\% |
| Multiple of LTM 9/30/19 Net Income | 21.3 | 21.5 | 21.7 | 21.9 | 22.3 | 22.7 | 23.1 | 23.5 | 23.9 | 24.3 | 24.5 | 24.7 | 23.2 |
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## TOP 10 NEGOTIATED FINANCIAL TERMS

## \#3 - Walk-Away Rights

- For Sellers, a "double-trigger" termination right is considered "market" (typically 15\% or 20\%)

Example 1: IF, Buyer stock price is down more than $20 \%$ between contract date and closing date, and is also down more than 20\% relative to the NASDAQ Bank Index; THEN, Seller termination right is triggered; however, Buyer has the option but not the obligation to increase the exchange ratio to avoid termination.
Example 2: IF, Buyer stock price is down less than 20\%; THEN, Seller has no termination right.
Example 3: IF, Buyer stock price is down more than $20 \%$, but is not down more than $20 \%$ relative to the NASDAQ Bank Index; THEN, Seller has no termination right.

- Buyers typically request termination right in the event Seller does not maintain "Minimum Equity" amount
- As a "closing condition" this creates closing uncertainty for Seller and is often discouraged
- Sellers will negotiate to accept a price reduction for any shortfall below the requested "Minimum Equity"
- Material Adverse Effect ("MAE")
- Commonly provided to Buyer in a cash deal; and to both Buyer and Seller in a stock deal
- MAE can include specific quantifiable thresholds (e.g. Seller's net income is less than zero)
- The MAE provision is typically not quantified, but provides termination right in the event of a "material adverse event." An MAE is typically considered to be an extremely high threshold and the definition typically excludes macroeconomic or geopolitical events that affect the economy or industry as a whole


## WALK-AWAY PROVISIONS

Top 10 Largest and Smallest All-Stock Deals by Deal Value

| Buyer Name |  | Buyer <br> State | Seller Name | Seller State | Seller <br> Total Assets (\$000) | Deal <br> Announcement <br> Date | Deal Value (\$Mils) | Exchange Ratio | Fixed Exchange Ratio? <br> (Y/N) | Adj. to Exchange Ratio? (Y/N) | Walk-Away Rights |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | DoubleTrigger? (Y/N) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | DoubleTrigger? <br> (\%) |
| 10 Largest Deals | BB\&T Corp. |  | NC | SunTrust Banks Inc. | GA | \$215,543,000 | 2/7/2019 | \$28,282.6 | 1.295 | Y | N | N |  |
|  | First Horizon National Corp. | TN | IBERIABANK Corp. | LA | \$31,734,598 | 11/4/2019 | \$3,917.4 | 4.584 | Y | N | N |  |
|  | TCF Financial Corp. | MN | Chemical Financial Corp. | Ml | \$21,498,341 | 1/28/2019 | \$3,551.9 | 0.5081 | Y | N | N |  |
|  | Texas Capital Bancshares Inc. | TX | Independent Bk Group Inc. | TX | \$14,959,127 | 12/9/2019 | \$3,122.9 | 1.0311 | Y | N | N |  |
|  | United Bankshares Inc. | WV | Carolina Financial Corp. | SC | \$3,979,888 | 11/18/2019 | \$1,117.9 | 1.13 | Y | N | Y | 15\% |
|  | People's United Financial Inc. | CT | United Financial Bancorp | CT | \$7,339,940 | 7/15/2019 | \$758.0 | 0.875 | Y | N | N |  |
|  | Valley National Bancorp | NJ | Oritani Financial Corp. | NJ | \$4,074,702 | 6/26/2019 | \$744.6 | 1.60 | Y | N | Y | 20\% |
|  | WesBanco Inc. | WV | Old Line Bancshares Inc | MD | \$3,075,613 | 7/23/2019 | \$500.1 | 0.7844 | Y | N | Y | 20\% |
|  | First Defiance Financial | OH | United Community Finl Corp. | OH | \$2,869,116 | 9/9/2019 | \$474.9 | 0.3715 | Y | N | N |  |
|  | Sandy Spring Bancorp Inc. | MD | Revere Bank | MD | \$2,626,721 | 9/24/2019 | \$460.7 | 1.0500 | Y | N | Y | 15\% |
|  | ChoiceOne Financial Services | M | County Bank Corp | MI | \$616,564 | 3/25/2019 | \$89.0 | 2.0632 | Y | N | N |  |
|  | First Bancshares Inc. | MS | Southwest Georgia Financial | GA | \$547,544 | 12/18/2019 | \$87.9 | 1.00 | Y | N | Y | 20\% |
|  | Banner Corp. | WA | AltaPacific Bancorp | CA | \$434,700 | 7/24/2019 | \$87.6 | 0.2712 | Y | N | Y | 25\% |
|  | Glacier Bancorp Inc. | MT | FNB Bancorp | UT | \$326,343 | 1/16/2019 | \$85.0 | 0.6474 | Y | Y | Y | 10\% |
|  | Fidelity D \& D Bancorp Inc. | PA | MNB Corporation | PA | \$412,770 | 12/10/2019 | \$78.7 | 1.039 | Y | N | Y | 20\% |
|  | Nicolet Bankshares Inc. | WI | Choice Bancorp Inc. | WI | \$440,541 | 6/27/2019 | \$72.1 | 0.5 | Y | Y | N |  |
|  | Santa Cruz County Bank | CA | Lighthouse Bank | CA | \$314,400 | 5/28/2019 | \$68.9 | 0.5204 | Y | N | NA |  |
|  | ACNB Corp. | PA | Frederick County Bancorp | MD | \$442,421 | 7/2/2019 | \$62.3 | 0.9900 | Y | N | Y | 15\% |
|  | Seacoast Banking Corp. of FL | FL | First Bank of the Palm Beaches | FL | \$189,126 | 11/19/2019 | \$32.9 | 0.2000 | Y | N | N |  |
|  | First Bank | NJ | Grand Bank NA | NJ | \$196,935 | 3/19/2019 | \$22.1 | 3262.956 | Y | N | N |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \% with Double Trigger Provision |  |  |  | 45\% |  |
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## TOP 10 NEGOTIATED FINANCIAL TERMS

## \#4 - Data Processing and Related Agreements - Termination Fees

- As part of each DP or other contract renewal, negotiate the termination fee language with your vendor
- Sellers should be strategic about the term and maturity dates of these agreements - termination fees are essentially an allocation of the franchise value to a third-party vendor
- Sellers need to fully understand termination fee amounts and ask for the fee calculation (including deconversion costs) from their vendor early in the process
- Buyers will include consideration of the fee into the pricing or in the price formula
- DP vendors expect to be paid termination fees in full; and do not make gratuitous concessions
- Buyers should not expect a concession on the termination fee if Seller's vendor is the same; unless, Buyer is willing to extend current contract OR already negotiated as part of their DP contract
- DP termination fees are more impactful in smaller deals as they typically represent a higher percentage of the purchase price


## TOP 10 NEGOTIATED FINANCIAL TERMS

## \#5 - Change-in-Control Agreements

- Purpose of CICs?... a "reward" for creating value; a protection for employment in the case of a CIC; a recruiting or retention tool; and/or a means of protecting the franchise value
- Perhaps the most challenging issue for lawyers and investment bankers
- Generally, there are two types of CIC agreements:
- Single trigger - executive paid upon a CIC, regardless of whether retained
- Double trigger - first trigger is CIC; second trigger is termination (or constructive termination)
- Example of Constructive Termination:
- Seller CEO has a double-trigger CIC
- Seller CEO to be offered Regional President position with Buyer
- Constructive Termination occurs because he/she is no longer CEO of the Bank
- Incents executive to quit to get CIC payment
- Resolution: negotiate payout of CIC; coupled with new employment agreement with Buyer that includes non-compete and non-solicitation covenants
- Non-compete/Non-solicitation Covenants
- In our experience, CICs should contain these protective covenants, but in some cases do not
- Could result in key executive negotiating employment terms while also negotiating deal terms...not a good situation
ProBank
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## TOP 10 NEGOTIATED FINANCIAL TERMS

## \#6 - Treatment of Transaction Costs

- Buyer will want all transaction costs identified and quantified
- Schedule typically includes:
- Investment banking fees (fixed or a formula)
- Legal fees (usually variable)
- Accounting and tax fees (usually variable)
- DP and other contract termination fees and deconversion costs
- CIC payments
- Severance payments/retention or stay bonuses
- How to handle in definitive agreement:
- "not to exceed" language
- Direct hit to equity prior to closing coupled with minimum equity requirement


## TREATMENT OF TRANSACTION COSTS

## Sample Schedule and Treatment of Transaction Costs

"SELLER Capital" means SELLER's shareholders' equity determined in accordance with GAAP on a consolidated basis, net of goodwill and other intangible assets, calculated in the same manner in which SELLER's consolidated tangible equity capital at June 30, 2017, was calculated in accordance with GAAP; but, expressly including adjustments for accumulated other comprehensive income or loss as reported on SELLER's balance sheet. In calculating SELLER Capital, employee severance payments and retention bonuses payable by BUYER post-Closing, conversion and similar post-Closing integration costs, purchase accounting adjustments, and the Final Transaction Related Expenses of up to $\$ 5.3$ million will not be taken into account. To the extent Final Transaction Related Expenses exceed $\$ 5.3$ million, the difference, on an after-tax basis (applying an effective tax rate of 35 percent), will be treated as a reduction of SELLER Capital.

SELLER Closing Capital defined and a representation provided in other sections of the Agreement.

| Transaction-Related Expenses (\$000s) | Estimated Transaction-Related Expenses | Final Transaction-Related Expenses |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Employee Related |  |  |
| Change-in-Control Cost |  |  |
| D\&O Tail Coverage Insurance |  |  |
| Integration/Operations |  |  |
| Data Processing - Termination and Deconversion Fee |  |  |
| Other IT/Systems Termination Cost |  |  |
| Title Policy Premiums |  |  |
| Professional Expenses |  |  |
| Investment banking - Advisory |  |  |
| Investment banking - Fairness Opinion |  |  |
| Legal |  |  |
| Accounting |  |  |
| Other |  |  |
| TOTAL |  |  |
| Note: As provided in the Agreement, any | gative differential be | een \$5,300,000 (the |
| "Maximum Transaction Expense Amount") an subtracted from SELLER Capital on an after tax for purposes of determining both SELLER Closin | he Final Transaction Re is (applying an effective apital and the Closing C | ted Expenses will be tax rate of 35 percent) ital Differential. |

## Estimated

Transaction-Related Expenses (\$000s)
Employee Related
Change-in-Control Cost
D\&O Tail Coverage Insurance

## Integration/Operations

and Deconversion Fee Other IT/Systems Termination Cost
Title Policy Premiums

## Professional Expenses

Investment banking - Advisory
Legal
Accounting
Other

Note: As provided in the Agreement, any negative differential between $\$ 5,300,000$ (the "Maximum Transaction Expense Amount") and the Final Transaction Related Expenses will be for purposes of determining both SELLER Closing Capital and the Closing Capital Differential.

## TOP 10 NEGOTIATED FINANCIAL TERMS

\#7 - Escrows, Holdbacks and Asset Exclusions

- Most bank M\&A deals settle at closing (or shortly thereafter) without escrows, holdbacks or asset exclusions
- Escrows and holdbacks are commonly requested from closely-held Sellers, where Buyer simply demands Seller shareholders to "stand behind" reps and warranties for a period of time after closing
- Can be regionally influenced
- Upper Midwest tends to have many family-owned banks where Escrows are commonly used to insure indemnification protection to Buyer for a period of time (1 to 3 years)
- Often subject to a minimum "basket" (i.e. $\$ 25,000$ ) before Buyer can collect against Escrow
- Other situations involving these structures might include:
- Troubled bank - carve out "bad assets" - more common during the "Great Recession" as opinions on asset values varied greatly
- Specific assets - parties can't agree on value of specific identified assets (e.g. OREO, office building, etc.)


## TOP 10 NEGOTIATED FINANCIAL TERMS

## \#8 - Payout or Continuation of Certain Benefit Plans

- Buyers should diligently identify and quantify the impact of a CIC to all benefit plans
- Deferred compensation plans can be complex and difficult to quantify closing equity impact
- Key issue: does a CIC result in vesting (and potential payment) of "accrued" or "vested" balance

Result: no financial impact to Seller's closing equity
OR

- Does the full benefit stay in-place with vesting accelerated

Result: could create significant liability and reduction to Seller's closing equity

- Buyers typically want to "extinguish" all specifically-designed executive benefit plans


## SERP \& DEFERRED COMPENSATION PLANS

## Sample Schedule and Treatment of SERPs and Deferred Plans

|  | SERPS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Employment Status | Vesting <br> Status (1) | Total Benefit Liability | Change of Control Payout Schedule (2) | Actual <br> Accrued <br> Balance <br> 9/30/2016 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Estimated } \\ \text { Accrued } \\ \text { Balance } \\ 12 / 31 / 2016 \end{array}$ | Estimated <br> Accrued <br> Balance <br> 6/30/2017 | Est Exp at CIC For Proper Retirement Accrual (3) | Additional Accrual |
| 1 | active | 100\% | \$250,000 | 10 yr - monthly | \$180,716 | \$184,496 | \$185,000 | - | \$65,000 |
| 2 | active | 100\% | \$150,000 | 10 yr - monthly | \$84,121 | \$85,722 | \$87,323 | \$23,677 | \$39,000 |
| 3 | active | 100\% | \$200,000 | 10 yr - monthly | \$112,211 | \$114,343 | \$116,475 | \$31,525 | \$52,000 |
| 4 | retired \& in p/o | 100\% | \$250,000 | 10 yr - monthly | \$183,120 | \$180,153 | \$177,186 | - | \$72,814 |
| 5 | active | 100\% | \$205,600 | 10 yr - monthly | \$39,263 | \$42,105 | \$44,947 | \$107,197 | \$53,456 |
| 6 | active | 100\% | \$500,000 | 10 yr - monthly | \$280,525 | \$285,856 | \$291,187 | \$78,813 | \$130,000 |
| 7 | active | 100\% | \$151,580 | 10 yr - monthly | \$11,424 | \$12,251 | \$13,078 | \$99,091 | \$39,411 |
| 8 | active | 100\% | \$450,000 | 10 yr - monthly | \$301,778 | \$306,879 | \$311,980 | \$21,020 | \$117,000 |
| 9 | active | 100\% | \$250,000 | 10 yr - monthly | \$167,655 | \$170,489 | \$173,323 | \$11,677 | \$65,000 |
| 10 | active | 100\% | \$250,000 | 10 yr - monthly | \$189,406 | \$195,024 | \$195,024 | - - | \$54,976 |
| 11 | active | 100\% | \$250,000 | 10 yr - monthly | \$28,868 | \$30,957 | \$33,046 | \$151,954 | \$65,000 |
| 12 | active | 100\% | \$250,000 | 10 yr - monthly | \$120,784 | \$123,048 | \$125,312 | \$59,688 | \$65,000 |
| 13 | termed | 100\% | \$344,465 | 10 yr - monthly | \$151,064 | \$153,016 | \$154,968 | \$99,936 | \$89,561 |
|  |  |  | \$3,501,645 |  |  | \$1,884,339 | \$1,908,849 | \$684,578 | \$908,218 |
| DIRECTOR DEFERRED RETIREMENT PLAN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Employment Status | Vesting Status | Estimated <br> Total <br> Benefit <br> Liability | Change of Control Payout Schedule (4) | Actual <br> Accrued <br> Balance <br> 9/30/2016 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Estimated } \\ \text { Accrued } \\ \text { Balance } \\ 12 / 31 / 2016 \end{array}$ | Estimated <br> Accrued <br> Balance <br> 6/30/2017 | Estimated Expense at COC (5) |  |
| 1 | retired \& in p/o | 100\% | \$14,967 | 10 yr - annual | \$29,873 | \$30,418 | \$14,967 | - |  |
| 2 | termed | 100\% | \$367,040 | 10 yr - annual | \$357,792 | \$362,416 | \$367,040 | - |  |
| 3 | active | 100\% | \$243,073 | 10 yr - annual | \$236,949 | \$240,011 | \$243,073 | - |  |
| 4 | active | 100\% | \$10,964 | 10 yr - annual | \$10,688 | \$10,826 | \$10,964 | - |  |
| 5 | active | 100\% | \$191,624 | 10 yr - annual | \$186,796 | \$189,210 | \$191,624 | - |  |
| 6 | active | 100\% | \$149,608 | 10 yr - annual | \$145,838 | \$147,723 | \$149,608 | - |  |
| 7 | active | 100\% | \$49,175 | 10 yr - annual | \$47,937 | \$48,556 | \$49,175 | - |  |

## Footnotes

(1) Change of control accelerates vesting to $100 \%$
(2) Change of control accelerates immediate payout over specified period
(3) Roughly 74\% NPV is accrued thru normal retirement date with remainder accrued during payout period
(4) Change of control does not change payout period
(5) The $5.25 \%$ annual interest crediting terminated at separation
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## TOP 10 NEGOTIATED FINANCIAL TERMS

## \#9 - Handling of Financing or Other Contingencies

- Sellers don't like closing contingencies, including:
- Financing cash deals - Buyer needs to borrow OR raise capital to fund the deal; often only consider if no other bidders are interested
- Regulatory - does the Buyer have a clean regulatory record, or a history of past issues? BSA, Compliance or CRA issues are problematic for a Buyer
- Shareholder approval by Buyer - usually not a big issue but sometimes required as part of stock transactions
- Debt or Equity Financing Contingency
- Seller should request a financing commitment letter be in place prior to signing definitive agreement
- Assurances of successful equity raise can be more challenging
- Seller should negotiate for a special termination fee if financing is not received


## TOP 10 NEGOTIATED FINANCIAL TERMS

## \#10 - Break-Up Fees and Other Termination Fees

- Break-up fee for an unsolicited offer
- Seller may not solicit offers after definitive agreement is signed
- If an unsolicited offer is received, Buyer is notified (can't be done in secret)
- Board may consider unsolicited offer as part of their fiduciary responsibility ("fiduciary out")
- If deal is terminated, Buyer is entitled to a break-up fee
- Break-up fees typically range from 3-5\% of purchase price - balanced to dissuade other parties from making an unsolicited offer but not so high as to mitigate the board's fiduciary duties
- Seller would only consider new offer if it exceeds current deal by significantly more than the break-up fee
- Language typically requires the offer to be deemed a "superior proposal" by the Seller's board of directors, after consultation with their investment banker and legal counsel
- Other Termination Fee language could include:
- Specific fees if financing is not received
- Specific fees for breach of the agreement; although most deals leave that open-ended to be able to sue for damages
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## ABOUT PROBANK AUSTIN

## PROBANK AUSTIN

## Firm at a Glance - Practice Areas and Services

## Investment Banking

- M\&A Advisory Services
- Branch Acquisition and Divestitures
- Stock Valuations
- Capital Planning
- Fairness Opinions
- Strategic Alternative Assessments


## Financial Management

- ALCO and IRR Reporting
- Interest Rate Risk Reviews
- Liquidity Stress Tests
- Budgeting Package
- Profitability Analysis - Product, Branch, Customer
- LoanPricingPRO ${ }^{\circledR}$


## Strategic Consulting

- Strategic Planning
- Operational Reviews
- Executive Compensation Reviews
- Core Processing and Vendor Selection
- Management/Staffing Studies
- Market and Branch Feasibility Studies

GRC Solutions and Management (Governance, Risk and Compliance)

- Regulatory Compliance
- BSA/AML/CRA and Fair Lending Reviews - System Validations, Look Back Experts
- Enterprise Risk Management
- Loan Review \& ALLL Validation Services
- Credit Risk Management
- Commercial Appraisal Reviews
- Capital Planning and Stress Testing
- IT and IS Audits and Intrusion Testing
- Internal Audits
- Mortgage Quality Control Audits/Process Reviews
- Fintech Services - Marketplace Lending and Online Lender Expertise
- Due Diligence Reviews
- Litigation Support and Expert Witness Testimony
- Loan Portfolio/CRE Stress Testing


## Education Services

- Education Training - Seminars, Webinars, Compliance Schools, Conferences, InHouse Training
- Compliance Training for Lending and Deposit Topics
- Newsletters and Manuals (InCompliance)
- Director and Officer Training
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## PROBANK AUSTIN

## Quick Facts

- Founded: 2017 through the merger of Professional Bank Services, Inc. (1978) and Austin Associates (1969)
- Offices: Louisville, Nashville, Toledo, New York City, Chicago, San Francisco
- Ownership: Private/employee owned; approximately 80 FTE employees
- Clients: Banks, credit unions, trade associations, regulatory agencies and law firms
- Education: In recent years, more than 10,000 people attended over 800 educational programs conducted by ProBank Austin in 36 states plus 40 in-house training programs in 21 states
- M\&A: Ranked 5th nationally with 140 whole-bank deals since $2005^{(1)}$
(1) Source: S\&P Global Market Intelligence as of December 31, 2019


## PROBANK AUSTIN

## M\&A Experience - Top Financial Advisors in Whole Bank Deals

| Overall Rank | Advisory Firm | Number of Deals 2005 through 2019 | Announced Deal Value <br> (\$M) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Keefe, Bruyette \& Woods | 609 | \$125,664.6 |
| 2 | Sandler O'Neill \& Partners, L.P. | 600 | \$162,724.3 |
| 3 | Hovde Group, LLC | 220 | \$12,219.0 |
| 4 | Raymond James \& Associates, Inc. | 206 | \$26,526.4 |
| 5 | ProBank Austin (1) | 140 | \$4,017.6 |
| 6 | Janney Montgomery Scott LLC | 104 | \$8,559.7 |
| T-7 | Capital Corporation, LLC | 96 | \$781.1 |
| T-7 | Sheshunoff \& Co. Investment Banking LP | 96 | \$2,872.5 |
| 9 | Performance Trust Capital Partners, LLC | 78 | \$5,282.3 |
| 10 | Boenning \& Scattergood, Inc. | 76 | \$5,657.9 |
| 11 | D.A. Davidson \& Co. | 72 | \$6,079.6 |
| 12 | Stephens Inc. | 69 | \$12,211.0 |
| 13 | Piper Jaffray \& Co. | 65 | \$5,715.2 |
| 14 | DD\&F Consulting Group | 61 | \$2,954.1 |
| 15 | FinPro, Inc. | 57 | \$1,634.7 |

Source: S\&P Global Market Intelligence, a division of S\&P Global. Data includes all U.S. deals from 2005 through 2019
Note: More than 100 firms reported in S\&P's M\&A Advisory rankings. Some deal values not reported and rankings exclude terminated deals (1) ProBank Austin formed from the merger of Professional Bank Services Inc. and Austin Associates, LLC effective January 12, 2017.

## ProBank <br> Austin

## PROBANK AUSTIN

## Addition Firm and Contact Information

## Website:

www.probank.com

Richard F. Maroney, Jr.
Managing Director
419.517.1766
rmaroney@probank.com


Rick joined Austin Associates in 1984. He currently co-manages ProBank Austin's investment banking and strategic consulting areas. He coordinates client projects involving M\&A transactions, fairness opinions, stock valuations, strategic planning, capital planning and regulatory consulting, among other specialized services. Rick also served as an instructor at the Stonier Graduate School of Banking, and holds Series 63 and 79 (Investment Banking) licenses.

Mike joined Austin Associates in 2016, bringing more than 35 years of banking expertise in mergers and acquisitions, corporate development, equity research, investment banking, strategic planning, investor relations, and corporate lending. His background includes serving as a Partner, member of the Executive Committee, and Director of Bank Equity Research for Roney \& Co. (now Raymond James). Mike is a graduate of Dartmouth College and holds FINRA Series 7, 24 (Principal) and 63 licenses.

## Michael M. Moran

Senior Consultant
517.749.3914
mmoran@probank.com



[^0]:    Note 1: Marginally accretive to tangible book value.

[^1]:    (1) To be determined.

[^2]:    
    (2) Assumes a resulting $50 \%$ stock $/ 50 \%$ cash mix (election process up to $75 \%$ stock).
    (3) Per definitive agreement and based on Buyer 20-day average closing stock price as of September 19, 2019.

